

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/829,781	04/10/2001	Tsung-Yuan Hsu	B-3916 617818-6	1039	
36716 LADAS & PA	36716 7590 08/09/2007 LADAS & PARRY			EXAMINER	
5670 WILSHI	RE BOULEVARD, SUI	NGUYEN, DUNG T			
LOS ANGELES, CA 90036-5679			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2828		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	/		08/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

.)	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/829,781	HSU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dung (Michael) T. Nguyen	2828				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ute. cause the application to become ABANE	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06.	July 2007.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	·					
•	-xammer. Note the attached Of	nce Action of form P10-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appli ority documents have been rec au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No eived in this National Stage				
See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st or the certified copies not rec	eived.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumn	mary (PTO-413) ail Date				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 2828

OFFICE ACTION

The indicated allowed claims 1-10 and 19-34 are withdrawn due to the newly found art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5-11, 13-28, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Huber (5208819).

Claims 1-3, 5-11, 13-27, and 30, Fig.1 shows a laser system with self-injection locking, the laser system comprising:

- (a) a single frequency laser 10 (DFB) having a laser output for delivering laser light at a frequency wo;
- (b) a modulator 14 (Mach-Zehnder electro-optic at col.4, 1.7) coupled to the output of the laser for generating two sidebands (Fig.2) (shifting the frequency (modulator 42 and as pointed out in Fig.1 and para 0016 of this instant application, the modulator 16 is used to shift the laser frequency. Hence, the Hubert modulator 14 is also used to shift the laser frequency), the modulator being driven by a RF signal 30 at a frequency wm;
- (c) a filter 18 (fiber grating) coupled to an output of the modulator for suppressing or passing one of the two sidebands (Fig.4); and
- (d) an optical path (fiber 16) coupling an output of the filter to the laser for injection locking.

Claim 28, Fig. 1 shows the optical path includes a portion of free-space (to detector 20).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huber (5208819) in view of Devaraj et al. (5818587). Huber discloses all limitations of the claim except for the accousto-optic modulator.

Devaraj teach the accousto-optic modulator 3 in Fig.4.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Huber what is taught by Devaraj for shifting the laser frequency.

Claims 12 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huber (5208819) in view of Ih (4768852). Huber discloses all limitations of the claims except for the SAW.

IH teaches the SAW (col.1, 1.36).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Huber what is taught by Ih to employ an alternate modulator (col.1, 1.36).

Application/Control Number: 09/829,781

Art Unit: 2828

Page 4

Claims 31-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huber (5208819) in view of Ouchi et al. (5659560). Huber discloses all limitations of the claims except

for the isolator.

Ouchi teach the isolator 219 in Fig.14.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Huber what is taught by Ouchi to prevent the laser light reflect back to the laser.

Communication Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung (Michael) T Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1949. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 17:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Min Harvey can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3329.

Michael Dung Nguyen

Primary Examiner

8/1/07